Homework 4 Solutions

Problem 1: For QED the superficial degree of divergence is

$$D = dL - P_e - 2P_\gamma,\tag{1}$$

where L the number of loop momenta and P_e and P_{γ} the number of electron and photon propagators respectively. Moreover the number of loop momenta is equal to

$$L = P_e + P_\gamma - V + 1, (2)$$

since every propagator has a momentum integral and every vertex a delta function that imposes momentum conservation. The extra plus one comes from the delta function that imposes the overall momentum conservation. Also the number of vertices is

$$V = 2P_{\gamma} + N_{\gamma} = P_e + \frac{1}{2}N_e, \tag{3}$$

since for every internal photon we have two vertices and for every external photon an additional one. Combining these equation we can write (1) as

$$D_{QED} = d + \frac{d-4}{2}V - \frac{d-2}{2}N_{\gamma} - \frac{d-2}{2}N_{e}.$$
 (4)

For scalar QED the superficial degree of divergence is

$$D = dL - 2P_{\phi} - 2P_{\gamma} + V_3,\tag{5}$$

where V_3 is the number of cubic vertices $\phi \partial \phi A$. We added this term because for each such vertex we get an additional momentum coming from the derivative acting on ϕ . Similarly with QED we have

$$L = P_{\phi} + P_{\gamma} - V_3 - V_4 + 1, \tag{6}$$

and

$$2P + N_{\phi} + N_{\gamma} = 3V_3 + 4V_4. \tag{7}$$

Again combining these equations we get

$$D_{sQED} = d + (d - 4)V_4 + \frac{d - 4}{2}V_3 - \frac{d - 2}{2}N.$$
(8)

Problem 2: a)Gauge invariance implies that the $q^{\mu}q^{\nu}$ in the photon propagator should not contribute to the scattering amplitude of two fermions and one photon. Hence we should have

$$q_{\mu}\bar{u}(p')V^{\mu}u(p) = 0, \quad q = p' - p.$$
 (9)

Acting with q^{μ} in (63.23) we get

$$0 = q_{\mu}\bar{u}(p')V^{\mu}u(p) = e(p'-p)_{\mu}\bar{u}'[A\gamma^{\mu} + B(p'+p)^{\mu} + C(p'-p)]u$$
 (10)

$$= e\bar{u}'[A(p' + p) + B(p'^2 + p^2) + Cq^2]u \tag{11}$$

$$= eCq^2\bar{u'}u. \tag{12}$$

To go from the second to the third line we used $\bar{u}'p' = -m\bar{u}'$ and pu = -mu as well as $p'^2 = p^2 = m^2$. Hence we see that gauge invariance require C = 0. b) Using equation (63.16) we make the replacement

$$A\gamma_{\mu} + B(p'+p)_{\mu} \to (A+2mB)\gamma_{\mu} + 2iBS^{\mu\nu}q_{\nu}. \tag{13}$$

Comparing this equation with (63.23) we see that

$$F_1 = A + 2mB, \quad F_2 = -2mB.$$
 (14)

Problem 3: For the purpose of this problem it's more convenient to chose a gauge such that

$$A = \frac{B}{2}(-y, x, 0). \tag{15}$$

In this gauge the in stead of $i\gamma^2\partial_1$ in equation (64.10), we have $\frac{1}{2}i(\gamma^2\partial_1-\gamma^1\partial_2)$. Using that equations (64.12) and (64.13) and then the properties $\bar{u}\gamma^i u=2p^i\bar{u}u$ and $\bar{u}u=2m$ the above term in the Hamiltonial will become

$$-\frac{e}{2m}i(p_1\partial_2 - p_2\partial_1),\tag{16}$$

which is just $\frac{e}{2m}L_z$. Hence the elector magnetic moment is

$$\mu = \frac{e}{m} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \right) + \frac{m_l}{2} \right),\tag{17}$$

so the new part due to the orbital angular momentum is

$$\mu_l = \frac{em_l}{2m}.\tag{18}$$

Problem 4:

a) The field equation that follows from (64.3) is

$$(iD\!\!\!/ - m)\Psi + \frac{e}{2m}F_2(0)F_{\mu\nu}S^{\mu\nu}\Psi = 0, \tag{19}$$

where

$$D = \partial - ieF_1(0) A. \tag{20}$$

Working in the Dirac representation of the gamma matrices

$$\gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & -I \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^k = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^k \\ -\sigma^k & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{21}$$

the matrices $S^{\mu\nu}$ are

$$S^{0i} = \frac{i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^i \\ \sigma^i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad S^{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ijk} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^k & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^k \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (22)

In this representation the Dirac equation (19) becomes

$$\begin{pmatrix} i\partial_{0} - eF_{1}\varphi - m & i\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{\partial} + eF_{1}\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{A} \\ -i\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{\partial} - eF_{1}\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{A} & -i\partial_{0} + eF_{1}\varphi - m \end{pmatrix}\Psi + \frac{e}{2m}F_{2}\begin{pmatrix} \vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{B} & \vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{E} \\ \vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{E} & \vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{B} \end{pmatrix}\Psi = 0,$$
(23)

where we also used $A_{\mu} = (-\varphi, \vec{A})$. Extracting the time dependence due to the rest energy we can write

$$\Psi = e^{imt} \begin{pmatrix} \Phi \\ X \end{pmatrix}. \tag{24}$$

In the non-relativistic limit the kinetic energy of the electron as well as the potential energy due to the electromagnetic field are much smaller than the rest energy. In other words

$$\dot{X} \ll mX, \quad eA \ll m.$$
 (25)

In this limit, the above equation in components reads

$$(i\partial_0 - eF_1\varphi + \frac{e}{2m}F_2\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{B})\Phi + (i\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{\partial} + eF_1\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{A} + \frac{e}{2m}F_2\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{E})X = 0$$
 (26)

$$(-i\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{\partial} - eF_1\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{A} + \frac{e}{2m}F_2\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{E})\Phi - 2mX = 0. \quad (27)$$

We can solve the second equation for X

$$X = \frac{1}{2m} \left(-i\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\partial} - eF_1 \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{A} + \frac{e}{2m} F_2 \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{E} \right), \tag{28}$$

and substituting in the above equation for Φ , neglecting higher order terms, we get

$$(i\partial_0 - eF_1\varphi + \frac{e}{2m}F_2\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{B})\Phi - (i\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{\partial} + eF_1\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{A})^2\Phi = 0,$$
 (29)

which can be written as

$$i\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial t} = H\Phi,\tag{30}$$

with

$$H = (i\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\partial} + eF_1\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{A})^2 + eF_1\varphi - \frac{e}{2m}F_2\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B}. \tag{31}$$

Moreover the first term can be simplified by using the properties of the Pauli matrices

$$(\vec{\sigma} \cdot (i\vec{\partial} + eF_1\vec{A})) = (i\vec{\partial} + eF_1\vec{A})^2 + i\vec{\sigma} \cdot (i\vec{\partial} + eF_1\vec{A}) \times (i\vec{\partial} + eF_1\vec{A})$$
(32)

$$= (i\vec{\partial} + eF_1\vec{A})^2 - eF_1\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}$$
(33)

$$= (i\vec{\partial} + eF_1\vec{A})^2 - eF_1\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B}. \tag{34}$$

Hence, the Hamiltonian is

$$H = (i\vec{\partial} + eF_1\vec{A})^2 + eF_1\varphi - \frac{e}{2m}(F_1 + F_2)\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B}.$$
 (35)

Now we can easily read the magnetic moment of the electron to be

$$\vec{\mu} = \frac{e}{2m}(F_1 + F_2)\sigma \to \frac{e}{2mc}(1 + F_2)\hbar\sigma = g\frac{e}{2mc}\frac{\hbar\sigma}{2}.$$
 (36)

Problem 5: Replacing ε_1' by k_1' and using momentum conservation the amplitude becomes

$$T = e^2 \bar{v}_2 \left[\varepsilon_2' \left(\frac{-\not p_1 + \not k_1' + m}{m^2 - t} \right) \not k_1' + \not k_1' \left(\frac{-\not p_2 - \not k_1' + m}{m^2 - u} \right) \varepsilon_2' \right] u_1.$$
 (37)

Using $k_1'k_1' = -k_1^2 = 0$ we have

$$T = e^2 \bar{v}_2 \left[\varepsilon_2' \left(\frac{-\not p_1 + m}{m^2 - t} \right) \not k_1' + \not k_1' \left(\frac{-\not p_2 + m}{m^2 - u} \right) \varepsilon_2' \right] u_1. \tag{38}$$

Then we can commute the momenta by using

$$(-p_1 + m)k_1' = k_1'(p_1 + m) + 2p_1 \cdot k_1, \tag{39}$$

and

$$k_1'(-p_2 + m) = (p_2 + m)k_1' - 2p_2 \cdot k_1, \tag{40}$$

and noting that $(p_1 + m)u_1 = 0$ and $\bar{v}_2(p_2 + m) = 0$ we arrive at

$$T = e^2 \bar{v}_2 \left[\varepsilon_2' \left(\frac{2p_1 \cdot k_1}{m^2 - t} \right) k_1' - k_1' \left(\frac{2p_2 \cdot k_1}{m^2 - u} \right) \varepsilon_2' \right] u_1. \tag{41}$$

It's easy to see now that this vanishes since $2p_1 \cdot k_1 = t - m^2$ and $2p_1 \cdot k_1 = u - m^2$.